

Why merely owning land isn't enough to empower Africa's women farmers

By Agnes Andersson Djurfeldt

16 May 2018

In the agricultural sector - the <u>major employer</u> for poor people in Africa - assets like land and livestock are owned and controlled mainly by men.



Haytam123258 via Wkimedia Commons

It makes sense, then, that many donors, national governments and civil society groups <u>believe</u> rebalancing asset and land ownership in favour of women will greatly empower women. The argument is that increasing women's access, control and ownership of land will lead to stronger bargaining power and higher incomes. It is also <u>posited</u> as a way to strengthen women's "voice" within households and communities.

But the reality in communities is very different. Our recent book, <u>Agriculture</u>, <u>Diversification and Gender in Rural Africa</u>, drew on a unique, longitudinal data set covering around 2000 households in 15 regions in six countries: Ghana, Kenya, Malawi, Mozambique, Tanzania and Zambia. This data, coupled with <u>more detailed qualitative research carried out in three villages</u> in Malawi, highlights the limitations of approaches that rely on gender-based redistribution of land from men to women.

We found that even when women own land, their husbands are still perceived as household heads. As such, men have better access to public resources such as subsidised fertilisers and agricultural advisory services.

The findings suggest that genuinely empowering women and girls within agriculture requires interventions that go beyond the issue of land redistribution. Instead, policymakers and development agencies should adopt a multifaceted approach that includes aspects beyond agriculture. These include issues of sexual and reproductive rights, for instance, and freeing women from the heavy and time-consuming drudgery of domestic work in poor, rural settings.

Ownership and demands on women's time

Female landholders have less land than men in five of the six countries we studied. This means they have lower access to one of the most important resources in rural Africa.

The quantitative data we collected confirms the existence of gender-based gaps in farm sizes - female landholders have smaller farms in all countries except Kenya. And the gaps have grown in most of the regions since 2002 when the study started. In countries where average farm sizes have increased – Ghana, Zambia and Tanzania – men have tended to benefit more from this growth than women.

In parts of Malawi and Zambia matrilineal systems mean that women control and inherit land. But this hasn't made a real difference to women's lives. This is because of their other responsibilities, as well as societal bias and pressures.

Then there's the issue of the responsibilities that women bear which interfere with their ability to focus on the business of farming. Through qualitative interviews in the three Malawian communities, we discovered that there are many demands on women's time and labour outside agriculture. Women are expected to care for ill relatives, especially children and infants. Other demands are the need to collect firewood and water.

A woman cannot juggle these demands with participating fully in agricultural production, even if she is the owner of the land. Gender norms around the division of labour enable men to avoid time-consuming domestic work.

Women's relative lack of empowerment is also related to their limited mobility, which makes it harder for them to reach markets. Women are fettered by their domestic responsibilities, controlling husbands and by the potential dangers of moving around on their own, especially at night.

This means that women don't have the actual power to control the income from products sold in agricultural markets.

Men's relative superiority within the household and local communities is compounded by government policies that strengthen the male household head norm. For instance, governments divert substantial public resources into subsidisation programmes that favour household heads.

These programmes also withdraw resources earmarked for agricultural extension services for women. This means that areas that lose funding are those that would empower women – not in terms of land ownership, but in terms of support.

Sustainable solutions

These findings suggest that empowering women farmers requires more than just ensuring they own land and assets. Women need support to deal with the many demands on their time. For example, addressing poor health among children might free up more time for women farmers who are also mothers. Improving women's ability to get around easily is also important.

This article was originally published on <u>The Conversation</u>. Read the <u>original article</u>.

ABOUT THE AUTHOR

Agnes Andersson Djurfeldt, Professor of Human Geography, Lund University

For more, visit: https://www.bizcommunity.com