

The algorithm did not kill the story



7 Jul 2017

Imagine a world without advertising. No billboards cluttering the roadside; no radio ads breaking your groove; no squeeze-backs interrupting sporting highs. Well, Walter Pike, a leading industry practitioner and commentator wrote in Bizcommunity last week that he believes this Utopia is imminent. And predicts that creativity will soon become redundant too. Which of course means that creative award shows such as Cannes and Loeries, which recognise excellence in creativity, are also redundant.



© raw pixel 123RF.com

Pike was therefore thrilled when the new Publicis Groupe CEO, Arthur Sadun pulled out of Cannes saying the money would be spent instead on artificial intelligence technology. Pike adds, 'certainly this is a huge blow to the egos of the people in creative departments, but should we care?'



Did Publicis dumping the Cannes Lions come as a surprise to you?

Walter Pike 26 Jun 2017

ď

Yes, we should care! Pike's vision of a world with no advertising interrupting people is exciting, but unfortunately, it's a vision based on dangerous fallacies. Pike is right about some things. He's right to point out the age of big data, artificial intelligence and programmatic media is upon us and this 'digital trinity' will be the key to future brand growth. Big data gives us more insight into what people need and when they need it. This creates an opportunity for AI content creators to deliver relevant advertising that satisfies relevant needs, in the right way; and programmatic media that targets specific people at the right time. Marketers that don't adapt to this new world will become redundant. Pike and Sadun's provocation is therefore a rallying cry to embrace the change that is upon us. We share his enthusiasm. But unfortunately, there are some dangerous fallacies in his conclusions and if we're not careful, we could follow Pike in throwing the baby out with the bath water.

Errors in Pike's logic

Let's take a closer look at the errors in Pike's logic:

- The digital trinity enables us to deliver relevant messages, to the right people, at the right time. Therefore, interruptive advertising is unnecessary.
- Creativity was necessary for interruptive advertising, but it's not necessary for relevance advertising. Therefore, creativity is unnecessary.

The underlying belief seems to be that there will be no more need for provocative advertising that gets attention, because brands will no longer need to sell stuff to uninterested people anymore. Instead brands will be responding to an implicit invitation from a potential consumer. The key to success is therefore a better algorithm to optimise relevance.

In general, the biggest weakness in Pike's logic is the either/or framing of the options.

- Just because the 'digital trinity' optimises relevance advertising, it does not follow that interruptive advertising becomes
 redundant. The history of technological innovation suggests the co-existence of both modes of advertising is far more
 likely. The conclusion that relevance advertising makes interruptive advertising redundant is therefore unsupported.
- The belief that creativity is not necessary for the success of relevance advertising is unproven, and current evidence suggests the opposite that creativity and relevance together, deliver the best returns. In *A Case for Creativity* by James Hurman, the data clearly shows the annual stock growth of the Cannes Advertiser of the Year, tracked over a period of almost 10 years, was more than 40% higher than the S&P 500 average. The conclusion that creativity will become a weak driver of success is therefore a highly risky bet.

Creativity will always optimise returns

At FCB Africa, we confidently believe creativity will always optimise returns, regardless of the content delivery system. We embrace relevance **and** creativity; big data **and** storytelling; Al technology **and** creative awards.

Our evidence is based on what we know about human behaviour, and specifically buying behaviour. Nobel prizewinner, Daniel Kahneman argues that most of our decisions are made intuitively based on what satisfies our immediate needs in the easiest possible way. Intuition is an 'emotional thinking system' that operates below the level of conscious thinking and directs our behaviour. Most of our buying decisions are made by this intuitive system. What does this mean for marketing?

In relation to Pike's argument that interruptive advertising and creativity will become redundant, there are two main implications:

Firstly, if most of our buying decisions are made intuitively, then we need to market brands to appeal to the intuitive mind. What does it like? It likes stories. Decision-scientists argue that stories are our favourite means of absorbing information about the world because stories humanise information by putting it into context and in a subjective experience. This is why we believe creative storytelling in advertising will never go away – because it is the most effective way to share ideas. The algorithm will not kill the story. The algorithm will deliver stories more effectively, but creativity will never be redundant.

FCB Africa CEO, Brett Morris argues, 'It's hard to think of a way in which creativity is not good for business. It's arguably the last true advantage you have over your competition. Applied correctly it can create exponential value for any brand or

business. Which is why we will still need awards because they raise the bar on creativity. Great talent wants to be challenged, benchmarked and recognised.' Long live creative awards.

Finally, decision-science argues that most of the information we have about brands is absorbed passively, at low levels of attention. What this means is that we're continuously absorbing impressions about brands, which over time, build an emotional brand memory that guides our choices. Interruptive advertising, which sends people messages when they are not looking for them, is very effective because if feeds brand memories. Contrary to popular belief, passive media is a good thing because it means very little is demanded from the audience. Most of the information we receive about brands is passive – we don't look for it, it comes to us. And again, contrary to voguish beliefs, that's exactly how most people prefer to take in their information about brands – because it's easy.

So, we don't agree with Pike that interruptive advertising will be replaced by relevance advertising. We believe the two systems will co-exist. However, we do agree with Pike and Sadun that interruptive advertising's share of investment will continue to decline, and more investment will shift to search and targeting technologies. The age of AI is upon us and it's very 'excitrifying'.

To conclude, we believe creativity and relevance **together** deliver the best returns. Creativity will always optimise returns, regardless of the content delivery system. For example, one of the biggest opportunities available to brands is cheap digital reach from earned media. But to earn that reach, you have to be creative. Our most successful Facebook campaign last year, which performed in the top 20 global Facebook campaigns at the time, featured a cute, farting guinea pig. Go figure.

On a more serious note, our Toronto office won a Cannes Lion last week for their powerful search campaign on Down Syndrome. If your obstetrician tells you your baby will be born with DS, you're given a few days to decide if you want to keep it. Most people go online to search DS and are hit by a terrible wave of frightening news. Wouldn't it be better if parents could actually experience some humanity in their search for information? So FCB Toronto created 20 short videos about DS delivered by DS kids. They all have a subtle, wry humor that is very moving. The DS kids shot up to the top of the search rankings.

We believe creativity will always optimise returns. We embrace relevance and creativity; big data and storytelling; Al technology and creative awards. That is the future.
Note that Bizcommunity staff and management do not necessarily share the views of its contributors – the opinions and statements expressed herein are solely those of the author.
ABOUT RITA DOHERTY
Group Strategy Director at Draftfcb The algorithm did not kill the story - 7 Jul 2017
View my profile and articles
For more, visit: https://www.bizcommunity.com